



# Waitemata Health Excellence Awards

*Showcasing Achievement*

## WHEA 2010 Entry Details

### Invitation

Entries are welcomed from ALL health providers working in the Rodney, North Shore City and Waitakere City areas. Entries may be from **individuals or groups** and may be in the form of an **oral presentation or poster**.

### Entry Categories

- Community & Public Health
- Primary Health
- Secondary Health

#### Notes:

1. Applicants are asked to indicate **one** primary category for their project. It is recognised that a project may impact more than one category.
2. The choice of category should be based on the predominate area of delivery and impact for the project. For example, a community organisation could enter a project under the *Secondary Health* category if the project principally impacts on service users within a secondary care environment.
3. For collaborative work, partners should consult and agree as to the most appropriate choice of category. Integration and collaboration will be recognised within the judging criteria.

### Timelines

Registration and abstract submissions close **Friday 12<sup>th</sup> February 2010**. Entrants should download the document "*Critical Timelines for Entrants*" from the WHEA pages at [www.knowledgecentre.co.nz](http://www.knowledgecentre.co.nz).

### Prizes

Prizes will be awarded separately in the oral and poster sections. An overall winner and a runner up will be awarded. In addition, other commendations designed to encourage and recognise effort will be made for projects which excel in any particular judging criteria. The prize structure will be advised.

### Judging Panel Structure

Judging will be in panels of four judges representing the clinical, management, consumer and academic arenas. Each judge will feed back their scores & judging notes to the committee. Judges will provide written feedback for presenters in both the poster and the oral section.

## Judging Criteria

As a guideline, projects should have been planned and/or implemented within the 12 months prior to February 2010.

Projects will be evaluated on some or all the following aspects:

- Innovation
- Integration
- Likely Health Gain
- Workforce Improvement
- Reducing Disparity
- Communication Strategy (*all projects*)
- Project Management (*all projects*)
- Presentation (*all projects*)

### Notes:

1. It is recognised that not all projects can or should be judged on all these aspects.
2. Negative results may also be submitted for equal consideration e.g. the presentation of shared learning from a project which shows that a new treatment does *not* improve outcomes or a change should *not* be implemented more broadly.

Each of these judging criteria are detailed in the following sections:

## Innovation

Implementation of original or creative ideas for social, technological or management change that create (or can create) new dimensions of performance, service or process. Extra points will be given for:

- originality (a fresh break-through with a 'wow' factor)
- scalability/transferability (the capacity to extend to other areas)
- solutions to compelling problems
- changing the way service is delivered

## Integration

Organising services so that the clients or communities served are involved in planning and review and receive better integrated health care from all providers. Points will be awarded to contributions that:

- improve interfaces and transitions
- demonstrate outcomes as a result of collaboration across departments and/or organisations
- participation by consumer/ patients/ community representatives

## Likely Health Gain

Improvement in health outcomes by measuring whether it **has** or **is likely to** produce a health gain. There will be particular consideration of the number of people impacted.

## Workforce Improvement

Demonstration of working in new ways to ensure that health and disability services can recruit and/or retain and/or train a motivated and effective workforce.

## Reducing Disparity

Demonstration that disparity exists *and/or* provision of evidence that the approach can lead to reduction of disparity *or* shared learnings about how the approach has not achieved any expected reduction.

## Communication Strategy

i.e. how will interested people hear about the project?

- How has/will the project demonstrate rigour of process?
- How has/will the project achieve recognition?
- How has/will the project disseminate the outcomes?
- effectively managed, effectively led
- well researched, planned and analysed to determine feasibility
- demonstrates rigour of process
- has been implemented
- evaluation to meet stakeholder needs has been done or planned for
- is sustainable

## Project Management

## Presentation

### Orals

- Use of audio-visual aids clear, uncluttered, logical, related to presentation.
- Clarity of voice and language used
- Presentation follow a logical sequence
- Addresses questions from judging panel/audience

### Posters

- Eye catching – a visual statement
- Readability and clarity of content at 1m distance
- Is message clear and concise

**Submitters to these awards will be participating in a public forum, including presentation to open sessions and online sharing of poster entries.**